

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,275	11/26/2003	Douglas Allard	11533.0028.NPUS00	8914	
27194	7590 11/21/2006		EXAMINER		
HOWREY I	LLP		CECIL, T	ERRY K	
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT 2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-2924			1723	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/200	DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	
/*	
سا	•

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/724,275	ALLARD, DOUGLAS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723		

	LAdillillei	Altonic			
	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		•			
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS	had adapte the state of filling a built				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first proposed amendment (s).</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	Will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld		i L below),			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will be w	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10,12-19,21-30,32 and 34-44</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a No nd sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	·	, ,,	•		
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nge <del>beca</del> use:		
·	, , , , , ,	/	//		
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1.			
		Mr. Terry K Cecil Primary Examiner			
		i illiary Lamille			

Art Unit: 1723

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the changes to each of the independent claims necessarily require further search and consideration.